



# Index

Page numbers followed by *f* and *t* indicate figures and tables, respectively.

## A

Acceptable tolerance, definition of, 299

Active planning and tracking, 259–268, 260*f*

Activities

percentage weight for, 227*t*, 228, 228*t*, 229*t*, 231–232

status analysis of, 260–261

trend analysis of, 261–262

Activities-based progress measure, 226–231

definition of, 226

detailed, 231–233

graphical, 228–231, 230*f*, 232*f*

plan line for, 228–231

vs. product-based progress measure, 235

strengths of, 229–230, 233

subjectivity of, 231

weaknesses of, 231

Activity charts. *See* Gantt charts

Adjusted function points. *See also* Unadjusted function points; Value adjustment factor

for component-based applications, 699

in estimation process, 394

Adjustment factors

in estimation process,

396–398

number of, 397

Algorithmic models for

estimation, 351–352

Analytic Hierarchy Process

(AHP), for effort

estimation, 397–398

Application attributes, for

delivery rate

normalization, 392,

393*t*

Application components,

definition of, 693

Application development

for enterprise resource planning, 16

throughput analysis in,

73

- Architecture  
 in component-based development, 709–710, 710*f*  
 defining, in product measurement, 55  
 for web-based applications, 661
- Arrays, in data manipulation, 135, 138–139, 138*f*
- Assignable cause variation. *See* Special cause variation
- Attributes, application, for delivery rate  
 normalization, 392, 393*t*
- Attributes, entity, 130*f*  
 definition of, 127  
 inclusion and exclusion of, from counts, 135, 136*f*  
 relationship to entities, 128
- Attributes, project, 152–154  
 for delivery rate  
 normalization, 392, 393*t*
- B**
- Backfiring, 5, 396  
 in COCOMO II estimation model, 395  
 private, 610  
 problems with, 427–428
- Balanced scorecard approach, 478–479, 504*f*  
 accuracy of metrics data in, 508–509, 509*f*  
 balance of measures in, 509–511  
 benchmarking in, 514  
 defining measures for, 508  
 definition of, 491–492  
 employee feedback in, 514  
 formulas in, 508  
 function point metric in, 18–19  
 gap analysis in, 513  
 implementation of, 511–513  
 maintenance and evolution of, 514–515  
 objections to, 492  
 organizational strategy in, 505*t*  
 thresholds for, 510–511, 511*f*, 512*f*, 513  
 organizational vision in, 506–507  
 overview of, 477–478  
 ownership of measures in, 511  
 preparation for, 493–494  
 self-diagnosis in, 513  
 steps in, 505–506  
 as strategic tool, 504–505  
 template for, 506*t*  
 use of, 493
- Baseline(s)  
 establishment of, with process overviews, 116  
 in estimation tools, 371, 372*f*, 373  
 interdependencies determined with, 37  
 in metrics identification, service-level, 554–555  
 for outsource contracts establishment of, 522–523, 528–531, 528*f*, 559–560  
 in litigation, 14  
 trends in, 46–49, 46*f*, 47*f*  
 use of, 50  
 for work types, 73
- Baseline work  
 definition of, 267  
 in earned value analysis, 262–264, 262*f*  
 in status diagrams, 260–261, 260*f*  
 in trend diagrams, 261–262, 261*f*
- B2B e-commerce, 656–657
- Benchmarking, 118*f*. *See also* External benchmarking; Internal benchmarking  
 in balanced scorecard approach, 514  
 definition of, 114  
 with function points, 205  
 measurement in, 114  
 in outsource contract analysis, 50, 559–560  
 for outsource contract prices, 532–533  
 of process capability, with control charts, 625  
 process improvement with, 114–115

- for productivity, 288–290
- purpose of, 115
- Benchmarking data
  - analysis of, 120–121
  - comparison of, 116
  - defining, 101–102, 119–120
  - establishment of, 32–33, 50
    - central sizing support team in, 184
  - filtering, in estimation process, 392–394, 397
  - function point metric for, 8
  - for height and weight, 34–37, 35*f*, 36*f*
  - for throughput, 73
  - updates to, 37
  - for Web-based applications, 16
- Benchmarking programs
  - characteristics of, 114
  - implementation of, 118–120
- Benefits measurement, *vs.* productivity measurement, 31–32
- Best practices
  - external, 117
  - identifying, 115, 120–121, 154–155, 206
  - internal, 116
- Best-in-class information, in estimation tools, 371
- Bids, *vs.* estimates, 340. *See also* Outsource contracts
- Bonus features. *See* Defect(s)
- British Mark II function point metric
  - and data point metric, 25
  - elements of, 20
- Business process perspective
  - in balanced scorecard approach, 18, 483–484, 484*f*
  - organizational strategies in, 507*t*
- Business Process Reengineering (BPR), function point metric in, 11–12
- C**
- Calendar months, as measure, 152
- Capability analysis
  - with control charts, 620–623
  - for service-level measures, 556, 557*t*
- Capability Maturity Model (CMM). *See also* Organizational maturity, SEI
  - central sizing support team within, 184
  - contract requirements in, 564–565
  - estimate documentation in, 339
  - function point analysis in, 606–608
  - maturation of, and CMM status, 610–611
  - function point metric in, 12–13
  - guidelines for implementation of, necessity of, 608
  - measurement represented in, 578–579
  - overtime in, 315–316
  - in process improvement, 186
  - progress toward metrics consolidation for, 327
  - in outsource contract litigation, 14
  - purpose of, 606
  - for service-level metrics planning, 563–565
  - SLOC counting tool in goals of, 573–575
- Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI), 586–587
  - continuous representation of, 589, 589*f*
  - levels of, 587–589, 590*f*
  - in measurement framework, 582
  - measurement process in, 590–594
    - generic practices for, 592–594, 593*t*
    - specific practices for, 591, 591*f*
  - staged representation of, 587–589, 588*f*
- Capture ratio, definition of, 68

- Central Metrics Group,  
  metrics program  
    coordination by, 86–87
- Central sizing support team  
  in benchmarking, 184  
  business driver for,  
    183–184  
  in Capability Maturity  
    Model, 184, 186  
  recommendations for,  
    189–190  
  responsibilities of, 190–194  
  tools for, 186–189
- Charts. *See also* Control  
  charts; Gantt charts  
  in benchmarking, 40  
  for core metrics, 38–39
- Churn, in balanced scorecard,  
  500
- Close-down phase, in projects,  
  90
- CMM. *See* Capability  
  Maturity Model
- CMMI. *See* Capability  
  Maturity Model  
  Integration
- COCOMO (Constructive  
  Cost Model), 350,  
  395
- COCOMO II. *See*  
  Constructive Cost  
  Model II
- Coding phase, in outsource  
  contracts, 543
- Commercial off-the-shelf  
  (COTS) point metric,  
  need for, 27
- Commercial off-the-shelf  
  (COTS) software  
  in component-based  
  development,  
    712–713  
  effective size used for, 247  
  metric for, 27
- Common cause variation  
  in control charts,  
    622–623  
  definition of, 633  
  responsibility for, 620  
  in Web-based systems,  
    619–620
- Complexity analysis  
  in estimation process, 364,  
    368, 400  
  of logical data structures,  
    437–438  
  tools for, evaluation of, 8–9
- Complexity matrix,  
  localization of, 609
- Component(s)  
  of applications, 693  
  categories of, 692  
  definition of, 691–692  
  function count of, *vs.*  
    project count, 712  
  for horizontal services,  
    695  
  size of, metrics for,  
    699–701  
  types of, 692
- Component packages, in  
  component-based  
  development,  
    707–708, 708*f*
- Component products  
  in component-based  
  development,  
    711–712, 711*t*  
  definition of, 693
- Component-based  
  applications  
  definition of, 693  
  size of, metrics for, 698–699
- Component-based  
  development  
  delivery process in, 697  
  Enterprise JavaBeans for,  
    690  
  function point analysis in,  
    689  
  goal-question-metric in,  
    694–695, 696*t*  
  measurement in, 689–690  
  metrics for, 694–703  
  outsource contracts in,  
    698  
  process for, 695–697  
  reuse in, 695, 697, 702–703  
    COTS in, 712–713  
  stakeholders in,  
    relationships among,  
      697–698  
    vertical services in, 693
- Component-based projects,  
  metrics for, 698,  
  701–702, 712
- Component-based software,  
  horizontal services in,  
    693
- Consequence, measurement  
  of, 249

- Constraints, in estimation  
 process, 344, 345*t*,  
 346–349
- Constructive Cost Model  
 (COCOMO), 350, 395
- Constructive Cost Model  
 (COCOMO) II,  
 395–396
- complexity analysis in, 400
- reuse in, 399
- uncertainty in, 401–403
- Consultants. *See also*  
 Outsource contracts  
 for function point  
 counting, 23–24, 153
- Contractual metrics program.  
*See also* Outsource  
 contracts
- continuous improvement  
 in, 453
- design development for, 451
- implementation support  
 for, 452
- operational definition for,  
 448–450
- purpose identification for,  
 447–448
- requirements definition for,  
 446–451
- techniques for, research of,  
 448–451
- training for, 452
- Control charts. *See also*  
 X-bar/R chart pair;  
 XmR chart
- in capability analysis,  
 620–623, 626–627
- in decision-making,  
 632–635
- function of, 633
- interpretation of, 636–639,  
 637*f*, 638*f*
- in load testing, 619–620,  
 625
- in performance analysis,  
 622–623, 625–627,  
 626*f*, 634–635
- use of, premise for, 619
- Control limits  
 derivation of, 633
- special causes in  
 calculating, 635–636
- Conventional productivity,  
 57–59
- Core metrics for IT, 32–33. *See*  
*also* Defect metric;  
 Effort metric;  
 Primitive metrics; Size  
 metric; Time metric
- in bids, 62–63
- control with, 63
- in estimates, 62, 343–344
- measurement of, 54–61
- in quality measurement,  
 55–57
- uses of, 61–62
- Corporate sponsorship  
 and metrics data misuse,  
 301–302
- for metrics programs,  
 296–297
- Cost, 150
- in balanced scorecard,  
 499–501, 499*t*
- defining, 275
- definition of, 275
- effort and, 256
- estimates for, 201
- tools for, evaluation of,  
 8–9
- in estimation process, 345*t*,  
 346
- flexibility of, 348
- recommendations for  
 using, 275
- Cost drivers, in COCOMO II  
 estimation model,  
 396
- Cost efficiency, equation for,  
 150
- Cost per function point  
 in make *versus* buy analysis,  
 9
- in outsource contracts, 11
- Cost variance metric, 380
- COTS. *See* Commercial off-  
 the-shelf software
- COTS point metric, need for,  
 27
- Counts. *See also* Function  
 point count(s)
- in data definition  
 frameworks,  
 134–139
- resolving issues with,  
 215–216
- reviewing, 216–217
- Cultural readiness. *See also*  
 Organizational  
 culture
- definition of, 297

- Customer perspective  
 in balanced scorecard  
   approach, 18, 479–483, 480*f*, 481*f*, 482*f*, 483*f*  
   organizational strategies in, 507*t*
- Cyclomatic complexity  
 measure, in defect estimation, 173
- D**
- Data. *See* Metrics data
- Data analysis. *See* Metrics data analysis
- Data collection. *See* Metrics data collection
- Data definition(s)  
 for benchmarking programs, 119–120  
 for metrics programs, 101–102, 103*t*–104*t*
- Data definition columns, 131–133, 132*f*  
 example of, 133–134, 133*f*
- Data definition frameworks  
 architecture of, 131, 131*f*  
 EER model transformed into, 131, 132*f*  
 example of, 139*f*, 140–143, 140*f*, 141*f*, 142*f*, 143*f*  
 for measurements  
   definition, 126  
   uses of, 126–127
- Data dictionary, in data definition frameworks, 141
- Data manipulation columns, 134–135
- Data point metric, need for, 25
- Defect(s)  
 analysis reports for, 89  
 capturing, 151–152  
 core metrics and, 55–57  
 definition of, 250  
 and function points, 287*t*, 288  
 increase in, 40, 45, 329–335, 330*f*–334*f*  
 measurement of, 7, 7*t*, 55–57, 276  
 and overtime, 311  
 phase containment of, 548  
 profile of, 251
- Defect density  
 determining, 88–89  
 for inspections, 640–643, 640*f*, 642*f*  
 prediction of, 177–178  
 U-charts for evaluation of, 634
- Defect detection rate  
 in estimation process, 380  
 and preparation and inspection rates, 647–648, 648*f*
- Defect estimation.  
 example of, 181*t*  
 project complexity in, 173  
 project size in, 173
- Defect metric, 32–33, 151–152  
 charting, 38–39  
 counting, 55–57  
 definition of, 276
- trends in, 48, 48*f*, 49*f*  
 use of, 276
- Defect rate  
 in defect density, 88–89  
 equation for, 149  
 in outsource litigation, 547  
 prediction of, 56, 177  
 in quality measurement, 55–56
- Defect removal efficiency  
 control charts in tracking, 644–646, 645*t*, 646*t*, 647*f*  
 equation for, 149
- Defects at delivery, 56  
 prediction of, 177
- Degrees of freedom, in estimation process, 344, 345*t*
- Delivery process, in component-based development, 697
- Delivery rates  
 improvement in, measurement of, 11  
 normalizing, 392
- Deployment, of enterprise resource planning (ERP) applications, 16
- Deprivation method, for asset valuation, 206–207
- Derived metrics, 87
- Design phase  
 in outsource contracts, 543  
 for SLOC counting tool, 571–572

- tools for, evaluation of, 8–9  
users in, 544
- Despecialization of labor, and  
increase in overtime,  
308–309
- Development. *See also* Make  
*versus* buy analysis  
component-based  
paradigm for, 689 (*See  
also* Component-based  
development)  
function point estimation  
in, 673
- Development(s), new  
estimates for, 357  
outsourcing, 522  
productivity benchmarks  
for, 288–290  
project management for,  
272–273
- Development productivity  
performance  
indicators, 87–88
- Documentation  
for estimates, 339, 355  
in estimation process, 339,  
355, 365  
in failed projects, 544  
for function point counts,  
214  
for metrics programs,  
299–300
- Documentation estimates  
in Capability Maturity  
Model, 186  
industry standards for, 184  
validation of, 192
- Dollars  
and function points, 287*t*,  
288  
as measure, 152
- Duration  
defining, 275  
definition of, 275  
equation for, 150  
in estimation process,  
345*t*  
use of, 275
- Duration variance metric,  
380
- E**
- Earned value  
benefit of, 263  
function points in, 17–18,  
250  
in progress tracking,  
249–250, 262–264,  
262*f*  
weaknesses of, 263–264
- Ease-of-use subcharacteristic,  
measuring, 679, 680*t*
- E-commerce, B2B, 656–657
- EER (enhanced entity  
relationships) method,  
for measurement  
definition, 126
- EER models, 127–139, 128*f*  
data definition frameworks  
from, 131, 131*f*, 132*f*
- Effective size, 243–247  
formula for, 246  
reuse conversion to,  
244*t*–245*t*, 246
- Effectiveness  
subcharacteristic,  
measuring, 682
- Efficiency subcharacteristic,  
measuring, 682–684,  
683*t*, 684*t*
- Effort data collection, 259
- Effort estimation, 201  
communication of, to  
client, 384–387  
with function point  
estimation, 659  
for implementation,  
383–384  
productivity metric in,  
383–384  
for requirements gathering,  
380–381  
SPC in, 648–649, 649*f*
- Effort metric, 32–33, 53, 61  
charting, 38–39  
criteria for, 257  
defining, 101–102, 257–258,  
258*f*  
definition of, 257  
in estimation process,  
345*t*  
flexibility of, 348  
and function points,  
287–288, 287*t*  
in progress tracking, 255  
reasons for, 256  
and reliability, 56, 57*f*  
schedule compression and,  
331  
trends in, 48, 48*f*, 49*f*
- Effort variance metric, 380

- Effort-hours  
 defining, 274  
 definition of, 274  
 recommendations for use  
 of, 275  
 use of, 274
- EJB (Enterprise JavaBeans),  
 for component-based  
 development, 690
- Embedded software,  
 guidelines for, 24–25
- Engineering point metric,  
 need for, 26–27
- Enhanced Software  
 Estimation (ESE),  
 steps in, 403–405
- Enhancements  
 estimates for, 357  
 vs. maintenance, 273  
 outsourcing, 522  
 productivity benchmarks  
 for, 288–290  
 project management for,  
 273
- Enterprise JavaBeans (EJB),  
 for component-based  
 development, 690
- Enterprise resource planning  
 (ERP) applications  
 e-commerce integration  
 with, 661  
 estimation of  
 with function points, 16
- Entity, definition of, 127
- ERP applications. *See*  
 Enterprise resource  
 planning applications
- Error analysis, in estimation,  
 401–403
- ESE (Enhanced Software  
 Estimation), steps in,  
 403–405
- Estimate(s)  
 vs. bids, 340  
 core metrics for IT in, 62,  
 343–344  
 of cost, 201  
 of documentation  
 in Capability Maturity  
 Model, 186  
 industry standards for,  
 184  
 validation of, 192  
 documentation for, 339,  
 355  
 for enhancements, 357  
 for new developments, 357  
 of resources, 201, 386,  
 426–427  
 negotiating, 344  
 for outsource contracts  
 terms, 523–524  
 for schedule metric, 201  
 for staff metric, 201  
 for work breakdown  
 structure, 93
- Estimate predictability  
 performance  
 indicators, 87–88
- Estimate scenarios, in  
 estimation process, 92
- Estimated function point  
 count(s), purpose of,  
 379
- Estimation centers  
 business value of, 328  
 establishment of,  
 325–326
- Estimation models  
 integration of, 405  
 International Function  
 Point Users Group  
 (IFPUG) guidelines  
 for, 392  
 parametric, 396–398  
 reasons for use of, 328  
 Rome Labs model, 176  
 tools for, 187–188  
 types of, 349–356  
 uses of, 355
- Estimation process, 91–96,  
 347f  
 accuracy in, 365, 411–412,  
 611  
 advantages provided by,  
 363–364  
 agendas of participants in,  
 344  
 alternatives in, 340–341  
 assessment of, 370  
 components of, 341–342  
 constraints on, 344, 345t,  
 346–349  
 context information for,  
 343–344  
 data quality in, 342–343,  
 343f  
 definition of, 339–340  
 documentation in, 339,  
 355, 365  
 effort-hours in, 274

- expertise in, in outsource contracts, 547  
 function of, 340  
 function point adjustment in, 394  
 function point analysis in, 176  
 for function points (*See* Function point estimation)  
 historical data in, 326, 339, 342–343  
 improvement of  
   identification of  
     problems with, 366  
     project selection for, 367  
     proof of concept in, 365–371  
 industry standards for, 176  
 metrics for, 343–344, 365, 378, 380  
   internal, 327–328  
 in metrics programs, 323–325  
 multiple techniques in, 173  
 overtime in, 311–312  
 parameters in, 340  
 partial development in, 176  
 in process improvement, 323–325  
*vs.* project planning, 340  
 project selection for, 366–367  
 reestimation, 357–359, 359*f*  
 requirements in, 364, 364*f*  
 review of, 367  
 schedule compression and, 329–335, 330*f*–334*f*  
 steps in, 356, 411  
 timing in, 365  
 tools for, 364  
   risk factor identification with, 372  
   selection of, 366–367, 371–373  
   using multiple, 373–374  
 uses of, 337–339  
 validation of, 341–342  
 value adjustment factor in, 394  
 variance metrics in, 380–381  
 Estimation reports  
   in estimation tools, 373  
   review of, 370  
 Euro mass update project,  
   function point analysis in, 15, 17  
 Evaluations, employee. *See* Performance evaluations  
 Execution phase, in projects, 90  
 External benchmarking, 117–118, 290, 290*t*  
   *vs.* internal benchmarking, in estimation process, 395  
**F**  
 Fair Labor Standards Act,  
   obsolescence of, 310  
 Fault. *See* Defect(s)  
 Feature Definition Assessment Form (FDAF), in  
   function point analysis, 176  
 Feedback  
   employee, in balanced scorecard approach, 514  
   vendor, in service-level reporting, 560–561  
 Financial analysis, for  
   outsource contracts, 529  
 Financial perspective  
   in balanced scorecard approach, 19, 486–487, 486*f*, 487*f*  
   organizational strategies in, 507*t*  
 FPA. *See* Function point analysis  
 Frame of reference, in  
   benchmarking, 33–37  
 FSM (Function size measurement), in ISO standards, 185  
 Function  
   classification of, 433  
   definition of, 433  
 Function point(s)  
   activities not measured in, 213–214  
   backfiring to LOC metric, 5, 610  
   in balanced scorecard approach, 18–19

- Function point(s) (*cont.*)
- as base measurement, 164–165, 165*t*
  - in Capability Maturity Model, 12–13
  - for comparative analysis, 163, 198
  - in conventional productivity, 57–58, 58*f*
  - in defect density, 88–89
  - definition of, 274
  - estimation of
  - expansion of, 24–29
  - importance assessment with, 286, 286*t*, 287*t*
  - integration with LOC metric, 405
  - IT Work Units and, 71
  - limits of, 163
  - vs. lines of code metric, 607
  - measuring vs. estimation, 427–428
  - origination of, 3–5
  - and other metrics, 287–288, 287*t*
  - in outsource contract litigation, 13–14
  - in performance evaluation, 545
  - in planning metrics, 242–243
  - for replacement impact assessment, 198
  - risk assessment with, 202, 274, 286, 286*t*, 287*t*
  - standard for, 274
  - versions of, 607
  - in work measurement, 67
- Function point analysis (FPA).
- benefits of, for clients, 541
  - in Capability Maturity Model, 606–608
  - complexity analysis in, 400
  - complexity matrix of, 609
  - in component-based development, 689
  - definition of, 151, 185
  - earned value in, 17–18
  - for ease-of-use measurement, 679
  - elements of, 20
  - of enterprise resource planning applications, 16
  - in estimation process, 55
  - rules of thumb for, 14–15
  - guidelines for,
    - organizational, 187
    - necessity of, 608
  - implementation of, 90
  - localization of, 608–610
  - in lower-level organizations, 607
  - in mass updates, 15
  - maturation of, and CMM status, 610–611
  - overtime in, 311
  - of packaged software, 208–209
  - in planning metrics, 243
  - rating value matrix of, 609
  - for requirements checking, 413–414
  - requirements clarity in, 280
  - reuse in, 399
  - in sizing software, 176, 184, 187, 274
  - in throughput measurement, 70
  - in universities, 466–467
- Function point count(s)
- adjustment to (*See* Adjusted function points; Unadjusted function points)
  - in B2B e-commerce applications, 667
  - benefits of, for B2B e-commerce applications, 658–660
  - for component-based applications, 699, 705–707, 706*f*, 707*f*, 708*f*
  - definition of, 378
  - difficulty variations in, 378
  - estimated, 379
  - implementation of, 217–219
  - indicative, 379
  - in-house vs. consultant, 23–24
  - methodology for, in B2B e-commerce applications, 657–658
  - objective of, 91*t*
  - personnel for, 153
  - in planning metrics, 243
  - recording, 214–215
  - reports for, 214–215

- roles and responsibilities  
for, 210–212, 210*f*,  
211*t*–212*t*
- rules for  
extension of, for B2B  
e-commerce  
applications, 660–662  
revision of, 3–4
- tools for, 90
- uses of, 197–198
- validation of, 192
- Function point counting  
specialists  
certification of, 6
- external, 153
- number required, 22, 23*t*
- in requirements analysis, 19
- Function point estimation,  
439–440
- in B2B e-commerce  
applications, 664–668,  
665*f*
- benefits of, for B2B  
e-commerce  
applications, 658–660
- credibility conveyed by,  
659–660
- vs. measuring, 427–428
- methodology for, in B2B  
e-commerce  
applications,  
657–658
- Function point repository,  
188. *See also* Metrics  
repositories
- estimation tool interface  
with, 372–373
- Function point training. *See*  
Training
- Function size measurement  
(FSM), in ISO  
standards, 185
- Function types, size estimates  
for, 200, 201*f*
- G**
- Gantt charts  
as progress report, 223–224,  
224*f*, 225*f*
- as schedule network, 240,  
242*f*
- Gap analysis, in balanced  
scorecard approach,  
513
- Generalization, 129, 130
- Goal(s)  
assessing, for outsource  
contracts, 523
- for benchmarking program,  
118–119
- communication of, 193–194
- measures for, 100–101, 100*t*
- for metrics program,  
99–100, 166, 298–299
- for projects, 252
- estimation process in  
achieving, 338
- Goal-Question-Metric  
(GQM)
- in component-based  
metrics, 694–695, 696*t*
- for measure identification,  
273
- service-level, 555
- in metrics program  
implementation,  
599–600
- in PIMA model for metrics  
program  
implementation, 162
- Graphical analysis. *See* Charts
- Graphical user interfaces  
(GUI), for web-based  
applications, 660–661
- Guidelines  
for Capability Maturity  
Model, 608
- communication of, 193
- for embedded software,  
24–25
- for estimation models,  
392
- for function point analysis,  
187, 608
- for real-time software,  
24–25
- H**
- Histograms, for data analysis,  
643–644, 643*f*, 644*f*
- Horizontal services  
in component-based  
software, 693
- components for, 695
- Hours, as measure, 151
- HTML, in Web-based  
applications, 16
- I**
- IEEE, for source lines of code  
counts, 185

- IFPUG function point metric.  
*See* International Function Point Users Group function point metric
- Implementation  
 of balanced scorecard, 511–513  
 of benchmarking programs, 118–120  
 of contractual metrics program, support for, 452  
 effort estimation for, 383–384  
 estimation of, 385, 670–671  
 of function point analysis, 90  
 function point analysis in, 672  
 of function point counts, 217–219  
 of metrics program  
   cost of, 218  
   design for, 299–300  
   methods and process development phase of, 107–108  
   organizational structure for, 86  
   after program testing, 301  
   roll-out phase of, 105–106, 106*t*–107*t*  
   staffing for, 106–107, 108*t*–109*t*  
   startup process for, 89–90  
   timing of, 238, 599  
   training in, 109, 109*t*  
 of packaged software, 208–209  
 of SLOC counting tool, 572–573
- Implied functionalities, estimation of, 435
- Indicative function point counts, purpose of, 379
- Indicators  
 defining, 475  
*vs.* metrics, 473–474  
 proposals for, 476–477
- Industry standards, 184–186  
 communication of, 193  
 for measurement, 581–584  
 in SLOC counting tools, 571
- Information technology  
 generation of, 464–465  
 in productivity improvement, 549–550  
 strategy for, development of, 465  
 in universities, 464–467
- Information technology function  
 critical success factors for, 475  
 goals for, 474  
 performance results of, with  
   balanced scorecard, 494–501, 495*t*
- Infrastructure, for metrics program support, 297–298
- Inherent defects  
 estimation of  
   project complexity in, 173  
   project size in, 173  
 prediction of, 177
- Inspection data analysis, data sources in, importance of, 639–643
- Inspection rates, and defect detection rates, 647–648, 648*f*
- Interface, errors in, responsibility for, 542–543
- Internal benchmarking, 115–117  
*vs.* external benchmarking, in estimation process, 395
- Internal work, ratio to throughput, 68
- International Function Point Users Group (IFPUG) function point metric Counting Practices Manual (CPM) of, 185  
 elements of, 20  
 extension of, for B2B e-commerce applications, 660–662  
 in process estimation, 92  
 standard of, 274

- International industry  
 comparison, function  
 point metric in, 9–11
- International Software  
 Benchmarking  
 Standards Group  
 (ISBSG), 392
- ISO (International  
 Organization for  
 Standardization)  
 standards, 185  
 in measurement  
 framework, 583  
 in measurement process,  
 584–585, 585*f*  
 process management in,  
 575  
 project management in, 575
- IT Work Units (ITWUs)  
 application of, 74, 75*t*, 76*t*,  
 77*t*, 78*t*  
 computation of, 71, 72*t*  
 definition of, 71  
 function points and, 71  
 uses of, 72–73  
 requirements for, 80
- J**
- JAVA  
 in component-based  
 development, 690  
 in Web-based applications,  
 16
- Java 2 Enterprise Environment  
 (J2EE), 703–705, 704*f*  
 for component-based  
 development, 690
- use case for (*See* Java Pet  
 Store)
- Java Pet Store, 705*f*  
 architecture of, 709–710,  
 710*f*  
 component products in,  
 711–712, 711*t*  
 function point count for,  
 705  
 metrics for, 703–705
- K**
- Kivit graph, as indicator, 476
- L**
- Labor unions  
 decline of, 310  
 in Europe, 314–315
- Latent defect, definition of,  
 251
- Learning and growth  
 perspective  
 in balanced scorecard  
 approach, 18,  
 484–485, 485*f*  
 organizational strategies in,  
 507*t*
- Lines of code (LOC). *See also*  
 Source lines of code  
 definition of, 276  
 drawbacks of, 607  
 and function points, 287*t*,  
 288  
 backfiring to, 5, 610  
 integration with, 405  
 in litigation, 13–14
- mathematical bias of, 5  
 objective of, 91*t*  
 in performance evaluation,  
 545–546  
 use of, 277  
 in work measurement, 68
- Litigation, of outsource  
 contracts. *See under*  
 Outsource contracts
- Load, performance, and  
 scalability (LPS)  
 testing  
 approaches to, 618–619  
 need for, in production  
 phase, 617  
 in performance prediction,  
 618  
 tools for, 616
- LOC. *See* Lines of code metric
- Logical data structures  
 analysis of, 436–437  
 complexity analysis of,  
 437–438
- Logical processes  
 analysis of, 437  
 level assessment for,  
 438–439
- Lower control limits. *See*  
 Control limits
- LPS testing. *See* Load,  
 performance, and  
 scalability testing
- M**
- Maintenance  
*vs.* enhancements, 273  
 outsourcing, 522

- Make *versus* buy analysis  
 function point estimation  
   in, 669–670  
 function point metric in, 9
- Management  
 through measures, 161, 197,  
 578, 601–602, 601*t*  
 with statistical process  
 control, 624–625,  
 632–635
- Mass updates, function point  
 analysis in, 15
- Mean Time to Defect  
 (MTTD), in quality  
 measurement, 55–56
- Measure(s). *See* Metrics
- Measured area of interest  
 counting, in data definition  
 frameworks, 131, 132*f*  
 superclasses and subclasses  
 of, 131
- Measurement  
 approaches to, 580–581  
 defining, data definition  
 framework for,  
 125–145  
 definition of, xxxiii  
 framework for, 422–424  
 standards in, 582–584,  
 582*f*, 583*t*
- Measurement attributes  
 data definition column for,  
 133  
*vs.* subclasses, 133
- Measurement methods  
 development phase, in  
 metrics program  
 implementation,  
 107–108
- Measurement process  
 in CMMI, 590–594  
 experimental problem-  
 solving in, 595  
 implementation of, 594  
 in ISO standards, 584–585,  
 585*f*  
 maturing capability of, 594
- Measurement program  
 development phase, in  
 metrics program  
 implementation,  
 107–108
- Measurement program. *See*  
 Metrics program
- Measurement team, criteria  
 for, 152–153
- MEC (Metrics Estimating  
 Centers), in metrics  
 programs, 87
- Methodology-based models  
 for estimation,  
 350–351
- Metrics. *See also* Core metrics  
 for IT  
 for balanced scorecard  
 perspectives, 508  
 balance of, 509–511  
 categories of, 148  
 choosing, 164–165  
 for component-based  
 development,  
 689–690, 694–703  
 consistency in, 120  
 criteria for selection of, 148  
 in decision-making, about  
 outsource contracts,  
 520  
 defining for estimation  
 process, 366  
 defining for goals and  
 initiatives, 100–101,  
 100*t*  
 definition of, 147, 237,  
 271  
 evolution of, 161  
 identification of, 273, 279  
*vs.* indicators, 473–474  
 internal, for estimation  
 process, 327–328  
 in legal defense, 550  
 management through, 161,  
 197, 578, 601–602,  
 601*t*  
 models of, 127, 128*f*  
 multiple, 166–167, 302  
 in outsource contracts,  
 establishing,  
 522–525  
 ownership of, 511  
 projects rescued with,  
 252–253  
 relationships among, 287,  
 287*t*  
 service-level (*See* Service-  
 level measures)  
 as tactical tool, 239  
 types of, 151, 239  
 uses of, 148, 280,  
 281*t*–285*t*
- Metrics data  
 accessibility of, 166, 192

- accuracy of, 167
  - in balanced scorecard approach, 508–509, 509*f*
- auditing, in outsource contract metrics, 524–525
- availability of, 41–42
- consistency of, 167
- defining, 101–102, 103*t*–104*t*
- in estimation process, 365
- historical, in estimation process, 326, 339, 342–343
  - for B2B e-commerce applications, 663–664
  - in outsource proposals, 539
- old vs. new, 43–44
- in project estimation, 91
- quality of, in estimation process, 342–343, 343*f*
- risks in use of, 44–45, 94, 153, 301–302
- Metrics data analysis, 44–45
  - approaches to, 595–598
    - in metrics program success, 601*t*, 602
  - in CMMI, 596
  - in estimation process, 370
  - graphical, 46–49
  - purpose of, 86
  - in standards, 596–597
  - steps in, 154–155
  - tips for, 91
  - tool implementation for, 90
- Metrics data collection
  - in baselines establishment, for outsource contracts, 529
  - in benchmarking, 38–39
  - management of, 39–40
  - obstacles to, 42–43
  - in outsource contract metrics, 524–525
  - for performance management, 616–620
  - personnel for, 153
  - pilot program for, 165–166
  - points for, 102, 103*t*–104*t*
  - presentation of results of, 40
  - process for, 154
  - project selection for, 43
  - purpose of, 86
  - responsibilities for, 102, 103*t*–104*t*
  - for service-level measures, 557–558
  - system for, 300
  - tools for, 102, 154
    - implementation of, 90
- Metrics data reports, 154–155, 155*f*
  - defining, 104–105, 253
  - at organizational level, 155–156, 156*f*
  - in outsource contract metrics, 524–525
  - for service-level metrics, 560–561
  - system for, 300
- tips for, 91
- tool implementation for, 90
- Metrics Estimating Centers (MEC), in metrics programs, 87
- Metrics for Object Oriented Systems Environments (MOOSE), in work measurement, 68
- Metrics program
  - analysis in, 251–253
  - collaboration cooperation in, 579
  - for contractual development (*See* Contractual metrics program)
  - coordination of, 86–87
  - cost of, 302–303
  - defining, 98–100
  - design of, 298–299
  - estimation in, 323–325
  - failure of, 93–94
  - implementation of
    - cost for, 218
    - cultural readiness in, 598–600
    - design for, 299–300
    - early, 579–580
  - Goal-Question-Metric in, 599–600
  - methods and process
    - development phase of, 107–108
  - organizational structure for, 86

- Metrics program (*cont.*)
- after program testing, 301
  - roll-out phase of,
    - 105–106, 106*t*–107*t*
  - staffing for, 106–107,
    - 108*t*–109*t*
  - startup process for,
    - 89–90
  - timing of, 238, 599
  - training in, 109, 109*t*
  - infrastructure for support
    - of, 297–298
  - maintenance of, 301–303
  - marketing of, 166
  - necessity of, 379
  - objectives for, 164
  - obstacles to, 672
  - organizational structure for,
    - 86–87
  - planning, 163–164
  - prevention through, 303
  - process improvement with,
    - 85–86, 94
  - purpose of, 584
  - reasons for use of, 85–86,
    - 161–162, 296, 324
  - requirements analysis for,
    - 295–298
  - results of, 584
  - scope of, and
    - implementation cost, 218
  - standards for, 581–584, 583*t*
  - success of, 147–148,
    - 166–167, 600–602
  - testing, 300–301
  - tools for, 156
  - in universities, 465–466
- Metrics repositories
- architecture of, 458–459
  - in B2B e-commerce
    - application
      - development, 662–664
  - in benchmarking, 39–40
  - change control for, 459
  - consolidation of, in CMM
    - progress, 327
  - criteria for, 456
  - customization of, 458
  - development of, 457
  - evolution of, 457, 459–460
  - purpose of, 86, 460
  - scope of, 457–458
  - for service-level metrics,
    - 558–559
  - system for, 300
  - as tool, 90, 156
  - updates to, 89, 89*f*
  - vision for, 459–460
- Minimum data set for IT
- metrics. *See* Core metrics for IT
- Months, as measure, 152
- MOOSE (Metrics for Object Oriented Systems Environments), in
- work measurement, 68
- MTTD (Mean Time to Defect), in quality
- measurement, 55–56
- N**
- Netherlands Software Metrics Users Association
- (NESMA), function
    - point counting
      - variations defined by, 378
  - New developments
    - estimates for, 357
    - productivity benchmarks
      - for, 288–290
    - project management for, 272–273
  - Nondisclosure agreements, for
    - function point
      - specialists, 19
- O**
- Organizational culture.
- See also* Cultural readiness
  - in metrics program
    - implementation, 97, 167–168, 598–599
    - Goal-Question-Metric and, 599–600
  - Organizational maturity. *See also* Capability Maturity Model
  - in metrics program
    - support, 297
    - overtime and, 313
  - Organizational metrics data
    - reports, 155–156, 156*f*
  - Organizational strategy
    - in balanced scorecard
      - approach, 505*t*, 507–508, 507*t*
    - thresholds for, 510–511, 511*f*, 512*f*, 513

- Organizational vision  
in balanced scorecard  
    approach, 506–507  
in self-diagnosis, 513
- Orthogonality, in data definition frameworks, 132
- Outsource contracts. *See also*  
    Consultants; Contractual metrics program  
analysis of, 11  
baseline establishment for, 522–523, 528–531, 528*f*, 559–560  
benchmarks in analysis of, 50  
Capability Maturity Model requirements for, 564–565  
change management in, 562–563  
classifying, 521–522  
coding phase in, 543  
in component-based development, 698  
conformance to, 561–562  
decision-making about, metrics in, 520  
design phase in, 543–544  
estimation in, 424–426  
financial analysis for, 529  
function point analysis in, 207–208  
goals assessment for, 523, 555  
interface errors in, responsibility for, 542–543  
litigation of, 13–14, 537–538  
    metrics in defense in, 550  
metrics data collection for, 524–525  
metrics establishment for, 522–525, 523*f*  
metrics identification for, service-level, 554–555  
options for, 520–521, 521*f*  
payment for, metrics in, 527  
performance evaluation of, 525  
    with function points, 545  
pricing for, 532–533  
productivity analysis for, 530–531  
productivity metric in, 525–526  
proposals for, 538–540  
quality analysis for, 530–531  
quality metric in, 526  
reasons for, 520  
reports for, 560–561  
requirements expansion in, 14, 546–547  
    management of, 548–549  
requirements gathering in, 543  
review of, 561  
scope of, 523–524  
size specification in, 538–539  
staff turnover in, and productivity rates, 545  
steps in, 424–426  
strategic analysis for, 529  
terms of, 523–524  
testing phase in, 543–544, 547–548  
throughput analysis in, 73  
tools in, 540–542
- Overtime  
computerization and, 308–309  
history of, 307–308  
international perspective on, 314–315  
measuring, 258  
unpaid/unreported defects and, 311  
and metrics program, 311–312  
organizational impact of, 313  
popular opinion on, 316–317  
prevalence of, 305–306  
in project failure, 306–307  
reasons for, 309–311  
social costs of, 313–314
- P**
- Packaged software  
function point analysis of, 208–209  
implementation of, 208–209
- Pages, in documentation estimates, 184
- Paradigm, definition of, 537

- Parametric models for estimation, 396–398
- Patent defect, definition of, 251
- Percent completed progress measure. *See* Activities-based progress measure
- Performance  
 deterioration of, 617–618, 624–625  
 LPS testing in prediction of, 618  
 SPC in determination of, 631
- Performance analysis  
 with control charts, 622–623, 625–627, 626*f*, 634–635  
 data collection for, 616–620  
 for web-based systems, 615–616
- Performance evaluations (employee)  
 metrics data in, 44–45, 94, 153, 166  
 for outsource contracts, 525
- Performance indicators, 87
- Phase containment, of defects, 548
- PIMA cyclical model, for metrics program implementation, 162–163, 163*f*
- Plan line, for activities-based progress measure, 228–231
- Planned work, in trend diagrams, 261–262, 261*f*
- Planning metrics, 237, 259–268  
 criteria for, 238  
 function points in, 242–243  
 levels in, 267–268  
 spreadsheet for, 260*f*
- Portfolio analysis  
 function point metric for, 8  
 metrics data in, 192  
 for outsource contracts terms, 523–524
- Portfolio size, in balanced scorecard, 500
- Practical Software and Systems Measurement (PSM)  
 in measurement framework, 582  
 measurement process of, 585–586, 587*f*
- Preparation rate  
 and defect detection rates, 647–648, 648*f*  
 for inspections, 639–640, 639*f*
- PreSage metrics database, 89
- Primitive metrics, 87, 88*t*.  
*See also* Core metrics for IT
- Probability, measurement of, 248–249
- Process analysis, histograms for, 643–644, 643*f*, 644*f*
- Process capability  
 changes in, control charts and, 625–627  
 definition of, 346, 632  
 in estimation process, 345*t*, 346, 364  
 flexibility of, 347–348  
 of production environment, benchmarking, 625  
 resource allocation and, 624–625  
 of testing environment, benchmarking, 626
- Process improvement. *See also specific processes*  
 baselines in determination of, 33  
 with benchmarking, 114–115  
 Capability Maturity Model for, 186  
 estimation process in, 323–325  
 metrics program for, 85–86, 94  
 early implementation of, 579–580  
 metrics support structure and, 86–87  
 monitoring, with control charts, 626–627  
 potential, identification of, 154–155  
 product-based, 597–598  
 responsibility for, 302  
 return on investment for, 303

- Process improvement models  
  measurement represented  
    in, 578–579  
  process vs. product in, 598
- Process management, SLOC  
  counting tool in, 573,  
  575
- Process overview, in  
  benchmarking, 116,  
  119
- Product delimiting, in product  
  measurement, 54
- Product management,  
  function point  
  estimation in, 673
- Product metric, 53, 54–55
- Product-based progress  
  measures, 233–235  
  vs. activities-based progress  
  measure, 235  
  graphical representation of,  
  234*f*  
  objectivity of, 233  
  strengths of, 233–235
- Production environment,  
  process capability of,  
  benchmarking, 625
- Production model, status  
  tracking with, 264,  
  265*f*
- Productivity, 53–54, 54  
  vs. benefits measurement,  
  31–32  
  defining, 57  
  definition of, 59, 149  
  in effort estimation,  
  383–384  
  effort in, 274  
  environment and, 248  
  equation for, 61  
  impact of soft factors on,  
  277  
  measurement of, 57–61  
  in outsource contracts,  
  525–526  
  in total project effort,  
  247–248
- Productivity analysis, for  
  outsource contracts,  
  530–531
- Productivity baseline  
  core metrics in, 33  
  establishment of, 32–33  
  tools for production of,  
  49–50
- Productivity improvement  
  in balanced scorecard, 495*t*,  
  496  
  baselines in determination  
  of, 33  
  defining, 41  
  information technology in,  
  549–550  
  IT work units and, 74, 78*t*  
  measurement of, 11
- Productivity rates, 12–13  
  benchmarks for, 8, 288–290  
  in Capability Maturity  
  Model, 12  
  classification of, 289  
  equation for, 149  
  and function-point-  
  generating activities,  
  214
- in outsource contract  
  litigation, 14  
  overtime in, 311  
  for package  
  implementation,  
  208–209  
  in performance evaluation,  
  545  
  and reliability, 56  
  staff turnover in, 545  
  variance in, 121, 122*f*  
  for Web-based applications,  
  16  
  and work week, shortened,  
  317
- Productivity trends, analysis  
  reports for, 89
- Prognosis, in trend diagrams,  
  261–262, 261*f*
- Programming languages,  
  evaluation of levels of,  
  5–6
- Progress measures. *See also*  
  Activities-based  
  progress measure;  
  Product-based  
  progress measures  
  criteria for, 225–226  
  recommendation for  
  choosing, 235  
  Progress tracking, 223, 224*f*.  
  *See also* Activities-  
  based progress  
  measure; Product-  
  based progress  
  measures  
  earned value in, 249–250

- Progress tracking (*cont.*)  
 with effective size, 246–247, 247*t*  
 effort in, 255, 274  
 in estimation process, 356  
 incomplete, 227*t*  
 in project management, 252  
 in reestimation process, 357, 359*f*
- Project(s)  
 component-based, metrics for, 698, 701–702, 712  
 critical practices for, 239, 240*f*  
 failure of, unpaid overtime in, 306–307  
 model of, 422–423, 423*f*  
 outsourcing (*See* Outsource contracts)  
 phases of, 90, 203, 543–544  
 productivity rates for, by size, 289  
 progress reports on, 222*f*  
 rescued by metrics, 252–253  
 resource estimates for, 201, 386, 426–427  
 scope of (*See* Scope)  
 variables in, in estimation process, 368–369, 369*t*
- Project attributes, 152–154  
 for delivery rate normalization, 392, 393*t*
- Project duration. *See* Duration
- Project framework, 240–242  
 elements of, 240  
 necessity of, 240
- Project management  
 for enhancements, 273  
 estimation in, 670–671  
 function point volume for, 9  
 for new developments, 272–273  
 SLOC counting tool in, 573, 575  
 tools for  
 estimation tools and, 372  
 evaluation of, 8–9
- Project planning  
 vs. estimation, 340  
 function point analysis in, 198–203  
 function point estimation in, in B2B e-commerce applications, 657  
 levels in, 267–268
- Project size estimation, 91–92.  
*See also* Estimating process; Software size estimation  
 accuracy of, 411–412  
 approaches to, 190  
 for component-based projects, 701–702  
 with function point estimation, 657–658  
 in metrics program, 91–93, 92*f*  
 for package implementation, 208–209  
 reconciliation in, 93  
 requirements engineers in, 412–413  
 reuse in, 702  
 risk limited on, 382
- Project structure, status  
 tracking with, 264, 264*f*
- Proof of concept, in  
 improvement initiatives estimation, 365–371
- Proxy-based models for  
 estimation, 352–355, 353*t*
- ## Q
- Quality, 53, 55–57  
 defects in (*See* Defect(s))  
 definition of, 149–150  
 effort in, 274  
 in estimation process, 345*t*, 346  
 flexibility of, 348–349  
 in outsource contracts, 526  
 overtime in, 312
- Quality analysis  
 function point metric for, 7, 7*t*  
 for outsource contracts, 530–531
- Quality assurance  
 automation of, 616  
 function point volume for, 9  
 source lines of code validated by, 192  
 and speed issues, 616  
 tools for, evaluation of, 8–9

- Quality performance  
 indicators, 87–89
- Quality rates  
 benchmarks for, 8  
 in Capability Maturity Model, 12, 12*t*  
 improvement in  
 measurement of, 11  
 through statistical process control, 650–651, 650*f*  
 for Web-based applications, 16
- R**
- Rapid application development (RAD), in Web-based applications, 16, 662
- Rate of change, trend in, 50
- Rating value matrix,  
 localization of, 609
- Ratio analysis, risks of, 45
- Real-time software, guidelines for, 24–25
- Reestimation process, 357–359, 359*f*
- Regression models for estimation, 350
- Relationship, definition of, 127
- Releases, planning, 206
- Reliability. *See* Defect(s); Quality
- Reliability estimation,  
 172–173. *See also* Defect estimation  
 by analogy, 175–176  
 in development life cycle, 172–174  
 factors in, 174
- Reliability estimation models,  
 in size estimation, 175
- Reliability growth model, 172
- Remaining work, in status diagrams, 260–261, 260*f*
- Replacement cost, assessing with function points, 199
- Replacement impact, assessing with function points, 198
- Requirements  
 clarity of, 280, 284*t*–285*t*  
 definition of, 418–419  
 in estimation process, 364, 364*f*  
 function point analysis in checking, 413–414  
 functional size of, 200  
 quality of, 410–411  
 resource estimation with, 422–428  
 types of, 418–419
- Requirements engineers, in size estimation, 412–413
- Requirements expansion. *See also* Scope expansion  
 function points in tracking, 546–547  
 management for  
 for B2B e-commerce applications, 667  
 in outsource contracts, 548–549  
 measurement of, 19–20, 252  
 in outsource contract litigation, 14, 546–547
- Requirements gathering  
 ancillary topics in, 21–22  
 for B2B e-commerce applications, 664  
 for contractual metrics program, 446–451  
 difficulty of, 410–411  
 function point specialists in, 19  
 fundamental topics in, 20–21  
 for metrics program, 295–298  
 in outsource contracts, 543  
 in product measurement, 54  
 quality of, 380  
 responsibility for cost of, 382  
 for SLOC counting tool, 571  
 stakeholders in, 421  
 tools for, 422
- Requirements management  
 activities in, 420–421, 421*f*  
 framework for, 419–422, 420*f*  
 for metrics program, 296  
 necessity of, 418

- Resource estimates, 201, 386, 426–427  
 negotiating, 344  
 for outsource contracts  
 terms, 523–524
- Results, effort and, 256
- Reuse  
 in component-based  
 development, metrics  
 for, 702–703  
 components of, 243, 244*t*–245*t*  
 in effort estimation, 398–400, 399*t*  
 formulas for, 244*t*–245*t*  
 measurement of, 243–247, 405  
 in project estimation, 702
- Reverse engineering  
 tools for, evaluation of, 8–9
- Revised metrics, replanning  
 with, 63–64
- Rework  
 assessing and prioritizing, 204  
 cost of, 204  
 definition of, 380–381  
 equation for, 149  
 requirements clarity in, 280
- Rework percentage metric, in  
 estimation process, 380–381
- Risk  
 definition of, 248  
 measurement of, 248–249, 283*t*  
 negotiating, in estimation  
 process, 344  
 sources of, 248
- Risk assessment, 248–249  
 in avoiding overtime, 311–312  
 in estimation process, 338  
 with estimation tools, 372  
 with function points, 202, 274  
 impact of soft factors on, 277  
 with variance metrics, 381
- Risk point metric, need for, 27–28
- Roll-out phase, in metrics  
 program  
 implementation, 105–106, 106*t*–107*t*
- Rome Labs model, 180*t*  
 benefits of, 178  
 comparing estimation  
 results with, 177  
 in defect density prediction, 178  
 in estimation process, 176  
 trade-off capability of, 178
- Rough order of magnitude  
 (ROM) model for  
 estimation, 352–354, 355*t*
- Rules of thumb, for estimation  
 with function points, 14–15
- S**
- Sales, function point approach  
 in, 673
- Scaling drivers, in COCOMO  
 II estimation model, 395–396
- Schedule. *See also* Time metric  
 development of, 242  
 estimation of, 59–61, 60*f*, 201, 385  
 in estimation process, 345*t*, 346  
 flexibility of, 347–349  
 Gantt chart for, 240, 242*f*  
 importance of, in data  
 analysis, 45  
 in project framework, 240  
 trends in, 48, 48*f*, 49*f*
- Schedule compression  
 and defect increase, 40, 45, 329–335, 330*f*–334*f*  
 measurement of, 11
- Scope  
 function point analysis in  
 determining, 198  
 negotiating, 199–200  
 for outsource contracts, 523–524  
 for SLOC counting tool, 570–571
- Scope change metric, in esti-  
 mation process, 381
- Scope expansion, 150. *See also*  
 Requirements  
 expansion  
 in estimation process, 400–401

- management of, with  
 function point  
 estimation, 659  
 monitoring with function  
 point analysis, 203–204  
 requirements clarity in,  
 280
- Separate counts, in data  
 manipulation, 135,  
 136–138, 137*f*
- SEPG (Software Engineering  
 Process Group), in  
 metric program  
 support, 86–87
- Service delivery, work  
 measurement in,  
 79–81
- Service point metric, need for,  
 26
- Service-level measures. *See*  
*also* Contractual  
 metrics program;  
 Outsource contracts  
 approval of, by vendor, 557  
 auditing of, 562  
 Capability Maturity Model  
 for planning, 563–565  
 data collection for, 557–558  
 data consolidation for, 558  
 defining, 555  
 identification of, for  
 outsource contracts,  
 554–555  
 implementation of, 555  
 repository for, 558–559
- Size, 32–33. *See also*  
 International Function  
 Point Users Group  
 function point metric  
 charting, 38–39  
 in COCOMO II estimation  
 model, 395  
 in estimation process,  
 344–346, 345*t*  
 flexibility of, 349  
 and reliability, 56  
 trends in, 48, 48*f*, 49*f*
- Size estimation. *See* Project  
 size estimation; Soft-  
 ware size estimation
- Skill levels  
 in component-based  
 development, 695  
 in estimation process,  
 365  
 in Web-based application  
 development, 661
- SLOC. *See* Source lines of code  
 metric
- Social Security numbers,  
 supply of, 15
- Software assets  
 taxation of, 13  
 valuation of, 206–207
- Software engineering,  
 function point  
 volume for, 9
- Software Engineering Institute  
 (SEI) Capability  
 Maturity Model  
 (CMM). *See* Capability  
 Maturity Model
- Software Engineering Process  
 Group (SEPG), in  
 metric program  
 support, 86–87
- Software Project Managers  
 Network 16 Critical  
 Software Practices,  
 239, 240*f*
- Software size estimation,  
 174–176. *See also*  
 Central sizing support  
 team; Estimation  
 process; Project size  
 estimation  
 for component based  
 applications, 699  
 in estimation process, 364,  
 367  
 industry standards for, 184  
 issue resolution about, 193  
 in outsource proposals,  
 538–539  
 purpose for, 213  
 reliability estimation  
 models in, 175  
 SPC in, 648  
 tools for, function analysis  
 in, 6
- Software types, productivity  
 rates by, 289
- Source lines of code (SLOC)  
 in COCOMO II estimation  
 model, 395  
 consistency in, 575  
 counting utilities for, 90,  
 188–189  
 estimation with, 54, 184  
 use of, 574  
 validation of, 192

- Source lines of code (SLOC)  
   counting tool  
   business case for, 570–571  
   in CMM goals, 573–575  
   criteria for, 569–570  
   design phase for, 571–572  
   implementation of,  
     572–573  
   industry standards in, 571  
   in process management,  
     573, 575  
   in project management,  
     573, 575  
   requirements definition for,  
     571  
   scope definition of,  
     570–571
- SPC. *See* Statistical process control
- Special cause variation  
   in control charts, 622–623  
   in control limit calculation,  
     635–636  
   definition of, 633  
   responsibility for, 620  
   validating, 635–636  
   in Web-based systems, 620
- Specialization, 129, 130
- Staff metric  
   estimation of, 54, 201, 385  
   in estimation process, 345*t*,  
     346  
   flexibility of, 348  
   schedule compression and,  
     331
- Staff-hour, defining, 258, 258*f*
- Staffing  
   function point estimation  
     in, 670  
   in metrics program  
     implementation,  
       106–107, 108*t*–109*t*  
   turnover of, and  
     productivity, 545
- Staffing support rates, in  
   balanced scorecard,  
     497–499, 497*t*, 498*t*
- Stakeholders  
   relationships among, in  
     component-based  
     development, 697–698  
   in requirements gathering,  
     421
- Standards. *See also* Industry  
   standards; ISO  
   standards  
   areas of concern within, 582  
   data analysis guidance in,  
     596–597  
   definition of, 581  
   for measurement, 581–584,  
     583*t*  
   models based on, 581
- Startup phase, in projects, 90
- Statistical process control  
   (SPC)  
   basic tools of, 632  
   in estimation process,  
     648–649, 649*f*  
   in higher-maturity  
     organizations, 595  
   implementation of,  
     649–650  
   in load testing, 618
- management with,  
   624–625, 632–635  
   prerequisites to use of, 632  
   quality improvement  
     through, 650–651,  
       650*f*  
   reasons for use of, 631, 631*f*  
   uses of, 630–631  
   in variation determination,  
     619
- Status diagram, 260–261,  
   260*f*
- Strategic analysis, for  
   outsource contracts,  
     529
- Strategy. *See* Organizational  
   strategy
- Structures. *See also* Work  
   breakdown structure  
   documenting, 432–433  
   in project planning,  
     264–266  
   types of, 264–266, 264*f*,  
     265*f*, 266*f*
- Subclass, 129, 129*f*, 130*f*  
   data definition column for,  
     132  
   of measured areas of  
     interest, 131, 132*f*  
   *vs.* measurement attributes,  
     133
- Superclass, 129, 129*f*, 130*f*  
   data definition column for,  
     132  
   function of, 130  
   of measured areas of  
     interest, 131, 132*f*

- Supplementary features. *See*  
Defect(s)
- Supplementary information,  
for metrics, 277–279,  
278*t*–279*t*
- Support applications  
for enterprise resource  
planning, 16  
for Euro mass update, 17
- Support resources, allocation  
of, function point  
analysis in, 204–205,  
205*f*
- T**
- Testing environment  
calibration of, with control  
charts, 626–627  
process capability of,  
benchmarking, 626  
scaled-down, for load  
testing, 618–619, 625
- Testing phase  
in outsource contracts,  
543–544, 547–548  
tools for, evaluation of,  
8–9
- Testing resources, allocating,  
201–202
- Thresholds, for organizational  
strategies, 510–511,  
511*f*, 512*f*, 513
- Throughput. *See also* IT Work  
Units  
baselines in determination  
of, 32, 48  
computation of, 70  
definition of, 68, 69*f*  
ratio to internal work, 68
- Time, 32–33, 53, 61, 150–151  
charting, 38–39  
effort and, 256  
estimation of, 54  
and reliability, 56, 57*f*
- Time to market, analysis  
reports for, 89
- Time-tracking. *See also*  
Overtime  
active, 259  
measure for, 151–152  
in metrics program failure,  
93–94  
objective of, 91*t*  
tool for, 90
- Tools  
for central sizing support  
team, 186–189  
for cost estimation, 173  
for estimation models,  
187–188  
for estimation process, 364  
risk factor identification  
with, 372  
selection of, 366–367,  
371–373  
using multiple, 373–374  
evaluation of, 8–9  
for function point analysis,  
90  
function point volumes for,  
9, 10*t*  
for load, performance, and  
scalability (LPS)  
testing, 616  
for metrics data collection,  
102, 154  
for metrics program, 156  
in outsource proposals,  
540–542  
for productivity baseline  
production, 49–50  
for project management  
estimation tools and, 372  
for requirements gathering,  
422  
for statistical process  
control (SPC), 632
- Total count, in data  
manipulation,  
134–136
- Total Quality Management  
(TQM), in PIMA  
model for metrics  
program  
implementation, 162
- Tracking metrics, 237, 259–268  
criteria for, 238  
levels in, 267–268  
spreadsheet for, 260*f*
- Training  
in Capability Maturity  
Model, 186  
in contractual metrics  
program, 452  
for documentation counts,  
191  
in function point analysis,  
90, 673  
in universities, 468–469  
for function point  
counting, 191

- Training (*cont.*)  
 for function point counting  
   specialists, 6, 153, 218  
 in metrics program  
   implementation, 109,  
   109*t*, 166  
 in software metrics, 23–24  
 for source lines of code  
   counting, 191  
 in universities, 467–469
- Trend(s)  
 analysis of, 481  
 graphical analysis of, 46–49,  
 46*f*, 47*f*, 48*f*, 49*f*
- Trend diagram, 261–262, 261*f*  
 with earned value, 262–264,  
 262*f*
- U**
- U-charts, defect density  
 evaluation with, 634
- Unadjusted function points.  
*See also* Value  
 adjustment factor  
 for component-based  
 applications, 699,  
 705, 706*f*  
 in estimation process, 394
- Understandability subcharac-  
 teristic, measuring,  
 680–682, 681*t*
- Unit cost, in balanced score-  
 card, 499–501, 499*t*
- Upper control limits. *See*  
 Control limits
- Usability, evaluating,  
 684–685, 685*t*
- Usability measurement model,  
 678  
 results of, 685
- V**
- Value adjustment factor  
 (VAF) complexity  
 analysis in, 400  
 for component-based  
 applications, 699,  
 706–707, 707*f*  
 localization in, 609  
 problems with, 394  
 reuse in, 399
- Value point metric, need for,  
 27–28
- Variance  
 definition of, 299  
 reasons for, 302
- Variance metrics, in estima-  
 tion process, 380–381
- Vendor feedback, in service-  
 level reporting,  
 560–561
- Vertical services, in  
 component-based  
 software, 693
- Vision. *See* Organizational  
 vision
- W**
- WBS. *See* Work breakdown  
 structure
- Web-based applications  
 architecture of, 661  
 common cause variation in,  
 619–620
- function point analysis of,  
 16  
 graphical user interface of,  
 660–661  
 interactivity of, 661  
 performance management  
 for, 615–616  
 project team skill sets and,  
 661  
 special cause variation in,  
 620  
 usability measurement  
 model for, 678, 685  
 usability of, evaluation of,  
 684–685, 685*t*
- What-if analysis, in estimation  
 tool, 371
- Work. *See also* Internal work;  
 Throughput  
 definition of, 54  
 diagrams for, 260–262,  
 260*f*, 261*f*  
 equation for, 69
- Work breakdown structure  
 (WBS), 351  
 estimate of, 93  
 in project framework, 240,  
 241*f*, 264–266
- Work type, status tracking  
 with, 264, 265*f*,  
 266*f*
- Work units. *See also* IT Work  
 Units; Product-based  
 progress measures  
 completion of, 233, 234*f*  
 evolutionary development  
 of, 81

- 
- identifying for projects,  
252
- Work units completed  
progress measure. *See*  
Product-based  
progress measures
- Work week  
changes in, 307
- shortened, productivity  
and, 317
- Workshops. *See* Training
- X**
- X-bar/R chart pair  
constructing, 620–622,  
621*f*, 622*f*
- performance tracking with,  
622–623
- XmR chart, for attributes data,  
633–634, 635*t*, 636*f*
- Y**
- Year 2000 projects, function  
point analysis in, 15