

where the latter estimates, over a time duration  $N$ , are based on a zero-mean ergodic assumption. Given our assumed quantizer range  $2x_{\max}$  and a quantization interval  $\Delta = \frac{2x_{\max}}{2^B}$  for a  $B$ -bit quantizer, and the uniform pdf, it is possible to show that (Exercise 12.2)

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_e^2 &= \frac{\Delta^2}{12} \\ &= \frac{(2x_{\max}/2^B)^2}{12} = \frac{x_{\max}^2}{(3)2^{2B}}.\end{aligned}\quad (12.3)$$

We can then express the SNR as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{SNR} &= \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_e^2} \\ &= \sigma_x^2 \left( \frac{(3)2^{2B}}{x_{\max}^2} \right) = \frac{(3)2^{2B}}{(x_{\max}/\sigma_x)^2}\end{aligned}$$

or in decibels (dB) as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{SNR(dB)} &= 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_e^2} \right) \\ &= 10(\log_{10} 3 + 2B \log_{10} 2) - 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{x_{\max}}{\sigma_x} \right) \\ &\approx 6B + 4.77 - 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{x_{\max}}{\sigma_x} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (12.4)$$

Because  $x_{\max} = 4\sigma_x$ , then

$$\text{SNR(dB)} \approx 6B - 7.2$$

and thus each bit contributes 6 dB to the SNR.

This simple uniform quantization scheme is called *pulse code modulation* (PCM) [33],[71]. Here  $B$  bits of information per sample are transmitted as a codeword. The advantages of the scheme are that it is *instantaneous*, i.e., there is no coding delay, and it is not signal-specific, e.g., it does not distinguish between speech and music. A disadvantage is that at least 11 bits are required for “toll quality,” i.e., equivalent to typical telephone quality. For a sampling rate of 10000 samples/s, for example, the required bit rate is  $I = (11 \text{ bits}) \times (10000 \text{ samples/s}) = 110,000 \text{ bps}$  in transmission systems.

**EXAMPLE 12.2** Consider a compact disc (CD) player that uses 16-bit PCM. This gives a  $\text{SNR} = 96 - 7.2 \text{ dB} = 88.8 \text{ dB}$  for a bit rate of 320,000 bps. This high bit rate is not of concern because space is not a limitation in this medium. ▲

Although uniform quantization is quite straightforward and appears to be a natural approach, it may not be optimal, i.e., the SNR may not be as small as we could obtain for a certain number of decision and reconstruction levels. To understand this limitation, suppose that the amplitude of  $x[n]$  is much more likely to be in one particular region than in another, e.g., low values occurring much more often than high values. This certainly is the case for a speech signal, given the speech pdf of Figure 12.2. Large values do not occur relatively often, corresponding to a very